

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/719,408 11/21/2003		Louis C. Cosentino	12771.1USC1	3341	
7590 11/15/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Attention of Nicholas P. Johns		ASTORINO, MICHAEL C			
MERCHANT & GOULD P.C.					
P.O. Box 2903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Minneapolis, MN 55402-0903		3736			

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

٣	7~	b	τ
٠,	$\sim$	•	_

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/719,408	COSENTINO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Michael C. Astorino	3736	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Michael C. Astorino	3736					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 21 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:  a)   The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any estimate a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);					
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		jected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	•						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	ent canceling				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 61-73,75-86,88 and 89.		ill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>74 and 87</u> .  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ched.				
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  13. Other:							
	,						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Currently amended independent claims 61 and 77 require a further search .

MAX F. HINDENBUBS

STORY PATENT EXAMINER

STORY PATENT EXAMINER